

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,765	03/20/2007	Mahendra G. Dedhiya	MERZ 49 PCT US	1522
25666 7590 12/18/2007 THE FIRM OF HUESCHEN AND SAGE SEVENTH FLOOR, KALAMAZOO BUILDING 107 WEST MICHIGAN AVENUE KALAMAZOO, MI 49007			EXAMINER	
			THOMAS, TIMOTHY P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KALAMAZOO,	, WII 45007		1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
055' 4-1' 0	10/578,765	DEDHIYA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Timothy P. Thomas	1614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMN 36(a). In no event, however, will apply and will expire SIX (in cause the application to become	IUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed By MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication.					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 M	ay 2006.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
, ==-	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= : :						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the atta	ached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received s have been received rity documents have u (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		rview Summary (PTO-413)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

10/578,765 Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Applicant is required to elect for each of (i) and (ii):

- (i) a single disclosed cyclohexylamine or aminoadamantaine derivative of formula
- (I) active compound specie; elect a single compound from memantine or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt of memantine (claims 8, 42); neramexane or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt of neramexane (claim 12) or species disclosed in the specification (paragraphs 0018-0019, 0022, 0023), or the identification of the backbone structure and all substituents of formula (I) to identify a single compound; and
- (ii) a single disclosed composition; specify each component present in the composition: elect from any one of claims 42-55; or specify each component of an alternate composition disclosed in the specification, such as a single composition from Tables 11, 15, or 19.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims

Application/Control Number:

10/578,765 Art Unit: 1614

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:
- (i) claims 8-55 correspond to active species
- (ii) each of claims 42-55 corresponds to a composition specie

 The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1-7 are generic for (i); claims 1-41 are generic for (ii).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking the species is a aqueous based composition containing a compound of formula (I). Lipton, et al. (WO 02/045710 A1; IDS 12/3/2007 reference) teaches NDMA receptor antagonists, including memantine (p. 17, line 12) formulated into aqueous suspensions (p. 21, line 18). Since Lipton previously disclosed the technical feature, the technical feature lacks novelty.

Application/Control Number:

10/578,765 Art Unit: 1614

Therefore, the technical feature linking the species does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 as it does not define a contribution over the prior art. Accordingly the species are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single inventive concept.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number:

10/578,765 Art Unit: 1614

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy P. Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-8994. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/TPT/ Timothy P. Thomas Patent Examiner ARDIN H. MARSCHEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

1/12/15/07